

**REMARKS**

This Application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office Action mailed August 29, 2003. In order to advance prosecution of this case, Applicants amend Claim 1. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and favorable action in this case.

**Specification Objections**

The Office Action objected to the disclosure for containing "an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser executable code." Applicants amend the specification, as required by the Office Action, to delete the embedded hyperlink.

The Office Action objected to the specification due to a typographical error that resulted in the filing date of a related provisional patent application being listed twice. Applicants amend the specification to correct the typographical error.

The Office Action objected to the disclosure because it "contains an inappropriate footer." Applicants' attorney, Luke K. Pedersen, spoke to Primary Examiner Rupal Dharia by telephone on February 26, 2004. Examiner Dharia indicated that Applicants need not address this objection since the footer would not appear in any patents that issue from the present Application. However, Applicants indicate their approval to delete the footer, by amending the specification as required by the Office Action.

**Claim Objections**

The Office Action objected to Claims 1 and 2 for informalities related to the term "software/computer system." Applicants traverse this objection. However, in order to advance prosecution of this case, Applicants amend Claim 1, as required by the Office Action.

**Section 102 Rejections**

The Examiner rejects Claims 1-11 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,512,824 issued to Hsieh et al ("Hsieh"). Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections for the reasons stated below.

Applicants have attached a Declaration Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.131, swearing behind *Hsieh*. Therefore, Applicants respectfully contend that *Hsieh* is no longer available for the rejection of Claims 1-11.

**Conclusions**


Applicants have made an earnest attempt to place this case in condition for allowance. For the foregoing reasons, and for other reasons clearly apparent, Applicants respectfully request full allowance of all pending Claims. If the Examiner feels that a telephone conference or an interview would advance prosecution of this Application in any manner, the undersigned attorney for Applicants stands ready to conduct such a conference at the convenience of the Examiner.

Applicants hereby take an Extension of Time for responding to the Examiner's Office Action dated August 29, 2003 for three (3) months from November 29, 2003 to February 29, 2003. The Extension of Time fee of \$950.00 for large entity is hereby attached.

Applicants believe no other fees are due. However, should there be a fee discrepancy, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 02-0384 of Baker Botts L.L.P.

Respectfully submitted,

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.  
Attorneys for Applicants



Luke K. Pedersen  
Reg. No. 45,003

Date: 2/26/04

**CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS:**

2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 600  
Dallas, Texas 75201-2980  
(214) 953-6655

Customer Number: **05073**  
Attorney Docket No. 064731.0203